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Abstract

Background: Pretreatment by antithyroid drugs (ATDs) before radioactive iodine therapy (RAIT) for Graves’ disease (GD) is often performed to avoid aggravation of thyroid function including thyroid storm. However, in patients suffering from adverse effects of ATDs, potassium iodide (KI) is often selected to treat hyperthyroidism before RAIT in Japan. The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of KI preparation for RAIT in GD and whether a short-term discontinuation of KI before RAIT is a safe and useful method in GD patients showing adverse effects from ATDs.

Methods: This is a retrospective chart review. The 24 patients enrolled in this study were divided into two groups. Patients who had large goiter and/or underwent previous RAIT were excluded. Before RAIT, 11 patients were administered KI and were referred to as the KI group. The remaining 13 patients were administered methimazole (MMI) and referred to as the MMI group. Between these two groups, the following factors were compared: radioactive iodine uptake (RAIU) before RAIT, thyroid gland weight, dose of administered 131-I, dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight, free thyroxine (FT4) at RAIT, difference in FT4 between before and 4 days after discontinuation of drugs, and thyroid function and thyroid gland weight 1 year after RAIT.

Results: RAIU before RAIT (P = 0.0018), dose of 131-I (P = 0.0037), FT4 at RAIT (P = 0.0034), and thyroid gland weight 1 year after RAIT (P = 0.0065) showed significant differences. Thyroid gland weight, dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight, difference in FT4 between before drug discontinuation and at RAIT, and thyroid function at 1 year after RAIT did not show any significant differences.

Conclusion: These results suggest that most patients without large goiter and/or highly aggressive disease may be safely and efficiently treated with RAIT and KI pretreatment until 4 days before therapy.
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Introduction

Radioactive iodine therapy (RAFT) is one effective treatment for Graves’ disease (GD). RAIT for GD was first introduced approximately 70 years ago, and the utility of this procedure is well known [1]. In Japan, the first choice for GD treatment is an antithyroid drug (ATD); however, when patients show adverse ATD effects, and/or GD does not go into remission with ATDs, RAIT and thyroidectomy are the second treatment options [2]. Endocrinologists try to treat hyperthyroidism by ATDs and/or potassium iodide (KI) before RAIT to prevent thyroid storm after RAIT. Burch et al reported that among 709 survey respondents who were endocrinologists, 352 (49.6%) used ATD preparations only in selected patients and 37.7% did routinely in most patients [3]. Their report indicated that not only iodine restriction before RAIT, but also discontinuation of ATDs is often selected in numerous cases. As well as cases administered ATDs, when a patient is taking KI for the treatment of adverse ATD effects, KI should be discontinued before RAIT. However, discontinuation of ATD and/or KI is one of the risk factors of thyroid function aggravation accompanying RAIT. In order to avoid this risk, Zakavi et al evaluated methimazole (MMI) discontinuation before RAIT and reported that a short duration of ATD withdrawal before RAIT is safe and useful [4]. In fact, in the above Burch survey, 37.1% of the responders stopped ATDs at 7 days, 25.2% at 5 days, 12.1% at 4 days, and 15.8% at 3 days before RAIT [3]. On the other hand, very few studies have focused on a short duration of KI withdrawal before RAIT. In several countries, KI administration for GD is not recommended except in preparation for GD surgery, treatment for thyroid storm, or treatment after RAIT [5-11]. However, in Japan, a high-iodine-intake area, KI is reported as a useful drug for GD treatment. Hirakawa et al reported that restriction of dietary iodine does not ameliorate the early effects of ATDs in an area of excessive iodine intake [12]. Takata et al demonstrated...
the usefulness of KI combined with ATD therapy [13]. In addition, Okamura et al reported the effectiveness of only KI in GD patients who showed adverse effects to MMI [14]. Therefore, in Japan, KI is often administered to GD patients who are showing adverse ATD effects. In addition, when GD patients showing adverse ATD effects are treated by RAIT, KI is often selected to avoid aggravation of thyroid function.

**Materials and Methods**

**Objective**

In this study, we evaluated preparation for RAIT by KI, and whether a short duration of KI withdrawal before RAIT is a safe and useful method in GD patients.

**Subjects**

RAIT was performed in 56 GD patients from May 2011 to October 2014 in our institution. Of the 56 patients, 24 were enrolled in this study. Thirty-two patients were excluded, because they dropped out after RAIT or RAIT was not performed in accordance with the following protocol and/or exclusion criteria. The exclusion criteria were defined as follows: large goiter and/or experience of previous RAIT. The definition of large goiter in exclusion criteria was determined that estimated thyroid gland weight was more than 50 g. In Japan, a single administered dose of 131-I RAIT for outpatients is restricted to within 13 mCi. If patients have large goiter, it is difficult to evaluate the efficacy of single RAIT because an enough high dose of 131-I to treat hyperthyroidism cannot be administered. Therefore, in this study, we determined the above exclusion criteria of thyroid gland weight. All enrolled patients were diagnosed with GD by thyrotoxicosis with positive thyroid stimulating hormone receptor antibody (TRAb) and/or high radioactive iodine uptake (RAIU). Neck ultrasonography was performed in all patients to exclude patients with an autonomously functioning thyroid nodule and possible malignant tumor. All patients were treated with RAIT for the following reasons. Of the 24 patients, 10 showed adverse effects from ATDs: four showed liver dysfunction, four showed drug eruption, one showed arthralgia, one showed drug fever, and two showed agranulocytosis. Some patients experienced multiple adverse effects at the same time. Thirteen were unable to go into remission with ATDs, and one chose RAIT. For the preparation of RAIT, 11 patients were administered KI, and 13 were administered MMI. The dose of drugs before RAIT is shown in Table 1.

**Protocol of RAIT**

Before RAIU for RAIT, oral-iodine-intake was restricted for 7 days in patients treated with only ATDs, and for 4 days in those treated with KI. MMI and KI were withdrawn 4 days before RAIU for RAIT. Duration of restriction of oral-iodine-intake and discontinuation of MMI or KI was adopted according to the guidelines for RAIT from Japan Thyroid Association (JTA) in 2007 [15]. RAIU was evaluated 3 h after intake of 131-I at a dose of 0.1 mCi. The dose of administered 131-I was 13.0 mCi as the fixed dose or the calculated dose. The determination of the fixed dose or the calculated dose of 131-I was by doctor’s decision in consideration of the patient clinical background. For example, if the patient had relatively large goiter and/or could not take ATDs because of adverse effects, the fixed dose method was often selected, because immediate treatment of hyperthyroidism was preferred. The calculated dose was determined by the following formula [16]: calculated dose (mCi) = (thyroid gland weight (g)) × (80 - 160 μCi 131-I/g of thyroid) × (RAIU (%)) × 10. The dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight was determined between 80 and 160 μCi/g. If a euthyroid state was expected after RAIT, the dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight was determined between 80 and 120 μCi/g. However, if hypothyroidism was acceptable after RAIT, it was determined between 140 and 160 μCi/g. Thyroid gland weight was estimated by thyroid US. After RAIT, discontinuation of MMI or KI, and iodine restriction continued for 3 days.

**Methods**

This was a retrospective chart review. The 24 enrolled patients
were divided into the following two groups. Before RAIT, KI was administered to 11 patients (two male and nine female) who were referred to as the KI group, and MMI was administered to 13 female patients who were referred to as the MMI group. The clinical features of these two groups are shown in Table 1.

Between these two groups, we compared the following factors: RAIU before RAIT, thyroid gland weight, dose of administered 131-I, dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight, free thyroxine (FT4) at RAIT, variation of FT4 between before and at 4 days after discontinuation of drugs, thyroid function at 1 year after RAIT and thyroid gland weight at 1 year after RAIT. The thyroid function at 1 year after RAIT was evaluated on the basis of whether each subject was taking MMI or KI 1 year after RAIT or not. Patients who were taking MMI or KI were defined as non-remissive (non-R), and patients who were not taking both MMI and KI were defined as in remission (R). The protocol of this study is shown in Figure 1.

**Laboratory measurements**

Serum levels of thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), FT4 and TRAb were determined using an ECLusys kit (Roche Diagnostics, Penzberg, Germany). The reference ranges were as follows: TSH 0.5 - 5.0 mIU/L and FT4 0.9 - 1.7 ng/dL. TRAb measurement was determined with the anti-M22 antibody, third generation. The reference range of TRAb was below 2.0 IU/L.

**Ethics approval**

Approval to undertake the study was received from the Research Ethics Committee in Yamashita Thyroid and Parathyroid Clinic (2016-2).

**Statistical analysis**

In these two groups, statistical analysis was performed with Fisher’s exact test and the Mann-Whitney U test using JMP ver. 11.0 (SAS Institute Inc.).

**Results**

There were significant statistical differences in RAIU before RAIT, dose of 131-I, FT4 at RAIT, and thyroid gland weight at 1 year after RAIT between the KI group and MMI group (Table 2). There were no significant differences in thyroid gland weight, dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight, difference in FT4 between before and 4 days after discontinuation of drugs, and thyroid function at 1 year after RAIT between the KI group and MMI group (Table 2). RAIU of the KI group was lower than that of the MMI group, approximately half of the
RAIU of the MMI group was equivalent to that of the KI group (P = 0.0018). The 131-I administration dose of the KI group was higher than that of the MMI group (P = 0.0037). Thyroid gland weight and dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight of the KI group was equivalent to the MMI group (P = 0.1396, P = 0.8393). FT4 at RAIT in the KI group was higher than that in the MMI group (P = 0.0034). However, difference in FT4 between before and 4 days after discontinuation of drugs of the KI group was slightly higher than that of the MMI group, but it was not significant (P = 0.1557). Regarding thyroid function at 1 year after RAIT, the “R” rate of the KI group was slightly higher than that of the MMI group, but it was not significant (P = 0.0932). Thyroid gland weight at 1 year after RAIT of the KI group was lower than that of the MMI group (P = 0.0065). The dose of drugs at 1 year after RAIT was added as supplementary data in Table 2.

### Discussion

In Japan, RAIT is the second or third choice for GD treatment [2]. However, institutions carrying out RAIT have been gradually increasing. Therefore, when patients show adverse effects of ATDs or cannot go into remission by ATDs, RAIT or thyroidectomy is often chosen. Thyrotoxicosis is one of the significant complications associated with RAIT. Not only transient exacerbation of thyrotoxicosis, but also thyroid storm has been reported [17-19]. To reduce such risks, ATDs are administered in preparation for RAIT in Japan. In addition, in patients who show adverse effects of ATDs or whose hyperthyroidism cannot be controlled by only ATDs, KI is also often administered as a treatment before RAIT. In fact, Zakavi et al reported that a shorter discontinuation of MMI before RAIT did not influence the effects of RAIT and may be preferable in most patients [4]. On the other hand, the influence on RAIT of KI discontinuation before RAIT was seldom reported. Some reports recommended lithium administration as a pretreatment for RAIT [20]. However, administration of lithium for hyperthyroidism is an off-label prescription in Japan. Therefore, it is difficult to routinely administer lithium to GD patients suffering from adverse effects of ATDs. According to the guidelines for RAIT from JTA in 2007, the KI discontinuation 3 - 4 days in prior to RAIT is effective. However, the data and/or literature supporting this were not available in the guidelines [15]. Therefore, in this study, we collected the data of GD patients who were prepared for RAIT with KI and evaluated whether a short duration of KI discontinuation before RAIT is a safe and useful method in GD patients showing adverse ATD effects.

The significantly lower RAIU of the KI group compared with that of the MMI group (Table 2) suggests that a 4-day discontinuation of KI may not be sufficient for adequate RAIU. Hiraiwa et al reported that RAIU of Japanese GD patients without iodine restriction was 42.4±17.6% (SD) [21]. In our study, KI was administered at a median dose of 150 mg in the KI group and hyperthyroidism was considerably treated before RAIT. High doses of iodine suppress RAIU and thyroid hormone secretion [22, 23]. Therefore, RAIU before KI discontinuation may have been suppressed by the above administered dose of iodine, and a 4-day discontinuation of KI contributed to improve RAIU to that equivalent of Japanese GD patients without iodine restriction.

Thyroid gland weight did not show significant difference between KI and MMI group, but that of the KI group was slightly lower than that of the MMI group. Therefore, in the KI group, although the RAIU was lower than that of the MMI group, the dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight was equivalent to that of the MMI group. According to Beierwaltes' reports, the dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight in both groups was adequate to treat hyperthyroidism [16]. Thyroid function tests at 1 year after RAIT did not show significant statistical difference between the KI and MMI groups. However, the “R” rate of the KI group was slightly higher than that of the MMI group. The result associated with thyroid gland weight at 1 year after RAIT was also consistent with this result. There were many patients who could not go into remission with ATDs in the MMI group; however, almost all

### Table 2. Results of This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>KI (n = 11:0)</th>
<th>MMI (n = 0:13)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAIU (median (25-75%)), %</td>
<td>29.9 (20.9 - 32.6)</td>
<td>62.9 (55.6 - 72.3)</td>
<td>0.0018*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid gland weight (median (25-75%)), g</td>
<td>24.1 (16.9 - 32.2)</td>
<td>32.7 (23.5 - 42.2)</td>
<td>0.1396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of 131-I (median (25-75%)), μCi</td>
<td>13.0 (10.9 - 13.0)</td>
<td>7.5 (5.5 - 11.9)</td>
<td>0.0037*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight (median (25-75%)), μCi/g</td>
<td>144.8 (121.8 - 176.6)</td>
<td>156.9 (118.7 - 193.9)</td>
<td>0.8393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT4 at RAIT (median (25-75%)), ng/dL</td>
<td>2.25 (1.79 - 4.02)</td>
<td>1.47 (0.89 - 1.69)</td>
<td>0.0034*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference in FT4 between before drug discontinuation and at RAIT (median (25-75%)), ng/dL</td>
<td>0.54 (-0.05 - 1.70)</td>
<td>0.08 (-0.34 - 0.49)</td>
<td>0.1557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid function at 1 year after RAIT (R/non-R)</td>
<td>8:3</td>
<td>5:8</td>
<td>0.0932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid gland weight at 1 year after RAIT (median (25-75%)), g</td>
<td>5.3 (3.2 - 6.4)</td>
<td>8.9 (6.7 - 12.5)</td>
<td>0.0065*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of drugs at 1 year after RAIT (median (25-75%)), mg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5 (0 - 5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

In Japan, RAIT is the second or third choice for GD treatment [2]. However, institutions carrying out RAIT have been gradually increasing. Therefore, when patients show adverse effects of ATDs or cannot go into remission by ATDs, RAIT or thyroidectomy is often chosen. Thyrotoxicosis is one of the significant complications associated with RAIT. Not only transient exacerbation of thyrotoxicosis, but also thyroid storm has been reported [17-19]. To reduce such risks, ATDs are administered in preparation for RAIT in Japan. In addition, in patients who show adverse effects of ATDs or whose hyperthyroidism cannot be controlled by only ATDs, KI is also often administered as a treatment before RAIT. In fact, Zakavi et al reported that a shorter discontinuation of MMI before RAIT did not influence the effects of RAIT and may be preferable in most patients [4]. On the other hand, the influence on RAIT of KI discontinuation before RAIT was seldom reported. Some reports recommended lithium administration as a pretreatment for RAIT [20]. However, administration of lithium for hyperthyroidism is an off-label prescription in Japan. Therefore, it is difficult to routinely administer lithium to GD patients suffering from adverse effects of ATDs. According to the guidelines for RAIT from JTA in 2007, the KI discontinuation 3 - 4 days in prior to RAIT is effective. However, the data and/or literature supporting this were not available in the guidelines [15]. Therefore, in this study, we collected the data of GD patients who were prepared for RAIT with KI and evaluated whether a short duration of KI discontinuation before RAIT is a safe and useful method in GD patients showing adverse ATD effects.

The significantly lower RAIU of the KI group compared with that of the MMI group (Table 2) suggests that a 4-day discontinuation of KI may not be sufficient for adequate RAIU. Hiraiwa et al reported that RAIU of Japanese GD patients without iodine restriction was 42.4±17.6% (SD) [21]. In our study, KI was administered at a median dose of 150 mg in the KI group and hyperthyroidism was considerably treated before RAIT. High doses of iodine suppress RAIU and thyroid hormone secretion [22, 23]. Therefore, RAIU before KI discontinuation may have been suppressed by the above administered dose of iodine, and a 4-day discontinuation of KI contributed to improve RAIU to that equivalent of Japanese GD patients without iodine restriction.

Thyroid gland weight did not show significant difference between KI and MMI group, but that of the KI group was slightly lower than that of the MMI group. Therefore, in the KI group, although the RAIU was lower than that of the MMI group, the dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight was equivalent to that of the MMI group. According to Beierwaltes' reports, the dose of 131-I based on thyroid gland weight in both groups was adequate to treat hyperthyroidism [16]. Thyroid function tests at 1 year after RAIT did not show significant statistical difference between the KI and MMI groups. However, the “R” rate of the KI group was slightly higher than that of the MMI group. The result associated with thyroid gland weight at 1 year after RAIT was also consistent with this result. There were many patients who could not go into remission with ATDs in the MMI group; however, almost all
patients showed adverse effects from ATDs in the KI group. The differences in aggressiveness and/or resistance to ATDs between the two groups may have contributed to the difference in the “R” rate. Therefore, it may be difficult to demonstrate the efficiency of preparation for RAIT by KI with MMI group comparison. However, our results demonstrated that GD patients without large goiter and/or highly aggressive disease could achieve a good outcome with KI preparation for RAIT. Regarding thyroid function after a 4-day discontinuation of KI, FT4 in the KI group was higher than that in the MMI group. It is well known that the effects of KI in GD often diminish during administration, described as the “escape phenomenon” [24]. However, Okamura et al reported that many GD patients who were treated with KI continuously for a long duration went into remission in Japan [14]. We continued KI treatment for GD patients unless they had significant hyperthyroidism and almost all patients in the KI group showed mild hyperthyroxinemia. This background may explain the higher FT4 at RAIT in the KI group than that in the MMI group. However, the difference in FT4 between before and after drug discontinuation was not significant between the two groups, suggesting that the influence of the 4-day discontinuation of KI on aggravation of thyroid function was equivalent to that of the 4-day discontinuation of MMI.

**Conclusion**

In the present study, our results suggested that preparation for RAIT by KI predicted a good RAIT outcome, and that a 4-day discontinuation of KI before RAIT is a useful and safe procedure to avoid RAIT-associated thyroid function deterioration. In conclusion, RAIT can be performed safely by discontinuing KI 4 days before the treatment and can achieve a good outcome in GD patients without large goiter and/or highly aggressive disease.
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