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Abstract

Background: The assessment of visceral adiposity is essential for the management of obesity. Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) has been established as a practical method for the assessment of visceral adiposity.

Methods: We compared two BIA methods (seca, mBCA 515; Omron, HDS-2000) of assessing visceral adiposity.

Results: Visceral adipose tissue volume measured using seca mBCA 515 was highly correlated with visceral fat area measured using HDS-2000; however, they were differently correlated with waist circumference and body mass index, respectively.

Conclusions: The seca mBCA 515 can distinguish fat mass from lean mass more accurately compared with conventional BIA methods.
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Introduction

Visceral adiposity is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease \cite{1}; therefore, the simple, practical, and accurate assessment of visceral adiposity is essential for the prevention and management of obesity. Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) has been established as a practical method for the assessment of visceral adiposity as well as body composition; however, its validity has not been fully verified \cite{2, 3}. Recently, a novel medical BIA device (seca, medical Body Composition Analyzer 515; seca mBCA 515) has been developed \cite{4}. Body composition predicted using this BIA device was highly correlated with that measured by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The authors concluded that BIA equations based on MRI measurement are more accurate for predicting skeletal muscle mass than those based on dual energy X-ray absorptiometry. In addition, visceral adipose tissue volumes (VAT) predicted using this BIA device were also highly correlated with VAT ($r^2 = 0.8$) evaluated by MRI \cite{4}. On the other hand, another BIA device (Omron, HDS-2000) has also been used for measuring the visceral fat area (VFA), and the result shows a strong correlation ($r = 0.89$) with VFA measured by computed tomography (CT) \cite{5}. Using seca mBCA 515, VAT can be measured in a standing position within 17 s, whereas it takes several minutes for measuring VFA by HDS-2000 in a supine position. If clinicians can accurately assess visceral adiposity using seca mBCA 515, it will be useful for the management of obesity. The aim of this study was to compare these two BIA methods of assessing visceral adiposity.

Methods

Subjects

We conducted a cross-sectional study in subjects who visited our clinic. First, height and weight were measured; waist circumference (WC) was also measured at the umbilical level in a standing position at the end of exhalation. Second, body composition was measured using seca mBCA 515. Third, VFA was measured using HDS-2000 in a supine position. All the measurements were performed in a fasting state on the same morning. The study protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Japan Medical Association, Center for Clinical Trials (Reference No.29-6), and the study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 24 (IBM Co., Ltd, Chicago, USA). All continuous values were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Correlations among VAT, VFA, weight, body mass index (BMI), and WC were calculated using the Pearson’s correlation coefficient. P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.
Results

A total of 66 subjects (39 males and 27 females) were enrolled in this study. Overall, 48 subjects were diagnosed as having type 2 diabetes and 18 subjects were healthy individuals. The mean age and BMI were 59.2 ± 14.9 and 24.5 ± 4.4 kg/m², respectively. The correlation between VAT and VFA was high (Pearson’s correlation coefficient; \( r = 0.812, P < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 1). VAT was significantly correlated with VFA in subjects with type 2 diabetes (\( r = 0.755, P < 0.001 \)) as well as in healthy subjects (\( r = 0.829, P < 0.001 \)). Interestingly, VAT was more strongly correlated to WC than to VFA. On the other hand, VFA was more strongly correlated to BMI than to VAT (Table 1).

Discussion

This study demonstrates that a novel BIA device can measure visceral adiposity that shows a high correlation with weight, BMI, WC, and VFA measured by another BIA device. To our knowledge, this is the first study to compare the two methods of BIA for evaluating visceral adiposity. The inability of BMI to distinguish between fat and lean mass has been recognized, and the obesity paradox has also been reported in subjects who suffer from chronic conditions such as diabetes, cancer and renal disease [6]. In contrast, seca mBCA 515 can accurately measure body composition in patients with a chronic disease such as cancer or renal failure [7, 8]. The finding of this study that VAT had a higher correlation with WC than with BMI suggests that seca mBCA 515 can distinguish fat mass from lean mass more accurately compared with conventional BIA methods. Total VAT measurement has been considered as the golden standard, and a single-slice VFA assessment may not accurately evaluate visceral adiposity in obese individuals [9]. Because it is rapid, noninvasive, and accurate, seca mBCA 515 may be useful for evaluating visceral adiposity in subjects with chronic disease. However, this study was a single-center, small number, cross-sectional study. Further study is warranted to investigate the usefulness of making the device available in various clinical settings.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate the support of Yuka Miyaji for data collection.

References
